

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

DAVID LEE WILLIAMS (7)

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:17-CR-00072-JDK-JDL

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
ON REVOCATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE**

On July 10, 2024, the Court held a final revocation hearing on a Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender under Supervision. The Government was represented by Assistant United States Attorney Jim Noble. Defendant was represented by Assistant Federal Defender Jonathan Hyatt.

Background

After pleading guilty to the offense of Possession with Intent to Distribute More Than 5 Grams of Methamphetamine, a Class B felony, Defendant David Lee Williams was sentenced on August 1, 2018, by United States District Judge Ron Clark. The offense carried a statutory maximum imprisonment term of 40 years. The guideline imprisonment range, based on a total offense level of 25 and a criminal history category of I, was 57 to 71 months. The Court sentenced Defendant to imprisonment for a term of 57 months, followed by a 3-year term of supervised release subject to the standard conditions of release, plus special conditions to include financial disclosure and drug testing and treatment.

Defendant completed his term of imprisonment and started his term of supervised release on March 30, 2021. The case was assigned to United States District Judge Jeremy Kernodle on

August 19, 2020. On April 6, 2021, the Court modified Defendant's conditions to add mental health treatment.

Allegations

In the Petition seeking to revoke Defendant's supervised release, filed on March 18, 2024, United States Probation Officer Wesley Basham alleges that Defendant violated the following condition of supervised release:

- 1. Allegation 1 (mandatory condition 1): The defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime.** It is alleged that Defendant committed the offense of Assault Causing Bodily Injury Against a Family Member, in violation of Texas Penal Code 22.01(a)(1), on March 27, 2024, in Troup, Smith County, Texas.

Applicable Law

According to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3), the Court may revoke the term of supervised release and require a Defendant to serve in prison all or part of the term of supervised release without credit for the time previously served under supervision, if it finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated a term of supervised release. Supervised release shall be revoked upon a finding of a Grade A or B supervised release violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(a)(1). In the present case, Defendant's original offense of conviction was a Class B felony. Accordingly, the maximum imprisonment sentence that may be imposed is 3 years. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e).

Under the Sentencing Guidelines, which are non-binding,¹ if the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated his conditions of supervised release by committing the offense of Assault Causing Bodily Injury Against a Family Member as alleged in

¹ The United States Sentencing Guidelines as applied to revocations of supervised release "have always been non-binding, advisory guides to district courts in supervised release revocation proceedings." *United States v. Brown*, 122 Fed.Appx. 648, 2005 WL 518704, slip op. p.1 (citing *United States v. Davis*, 53 F.3d 638, 642 (5th Cir. 1995)); see also *United States v. Mathena*, 23 F.3d 87 (5th Cir. 1994) (policy statements contained in Chapter 7 of the Sentencing Guidelines applicable to sentencing a defendant upon revocation of supervised release are advisory only.).

the petition, he is guilty of a Grade C violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a). Defendant's original criminal history category was I. The guidelines provide that Defendant's guideline imprisonment range for a Grade C violation is 3 to 9 months.

Hearing

On July 10, 2024, Defendant appeared for a final revocation hearing. Assistant United States Attorney Jim Noble announced that Defendant and the Government reached an agreement for Defendant to enter a plea of true to Allegation 1 of the petition and to jointly request a sentence of imprisonment for a term of 3 months with no further term of supervised release. After the Court explained to Defendant his right to a revocation hearing, he waived his right to a revocation hearing and entered a plea of "true" to Allegation 1 of the petition. Defendant requested a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons to confine him at FCI Texarkana

Findings and Conclusions

I find that Defendant is competent and that his plea and waiver of the revocation hearing was knowingly and voluntarily made. I accept Defendant's plea and find by a preponderance of the evidence that Allegation 1 of the petition is true. Defendant is guilty of a Grade C supervised release violation. I further find and conclude that Defendant's term of supervised release should be revoked and that he should be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of 3 months with no further term of supervised release. Any criminal history monetary penalties previously ordered in the final judgment should be imposed in this revocation, with all payments collected credited towards outstanding balances.

RECOMMENDATION

In light of the foregoing, it is recommended that Defendant's plea of true to Allegation 1 of the petition be **ACCEPTED** and that Defendant's term of supervised release be **REVOKED**. It is further recommended that Defendant be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of 3 months

with no further term of supervised release. Any criminal monetary penalties previously ordered in the final judgment should be imposed in this revocation, with all payments collected credited towards outstanding balances. It is finally recommended that the Court request the Bureau of Prisons to designate Defendant to FCI Texarkana

Before the conclusion of the hearing, the undersigned announced the foregoing recommendation and notified Defendant of his right to object to this Report and Recommendation and to be present and allocute before being sentenced by the Court. Defendant waived those rights and executed a written waiver in open court. The Government also waived its right to object to the Report and Recommendation. It is therefore recommended that the Court revoke Defendant's supervised release and enter a Judgment and Commitment for him to be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of 3 months with no further term of supervised release.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 11th day of July, 2024.



K. NICOLE MITCHELL
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE